Journal of Transformative Pedagogies and Learner Engagement

Vol. 1. **Issue** 3, 2026. Pp. 22-30
ISSN: 3139-2784

Journal homepage: https://spublication.in/journals/index.php/jtple



Article

The Synergistic Role of Family and Society in Advancing Women's Education: A Socio-Historical and Transformative Perspective

Fakhruddin Ali Ahmad[1*]

1. College of Teacher Education, Darbhanga, Maulana Azad National Urdu University, Hyderabad, India.

*Corresponding Author:
drfakhruddin@manuu.edu.in

Article History

Received:	28/03/2026
Revised:	20/08/2026
Accepted:	08/09/2026
Published:	30/09/2026

DOI: 10.63960/sp.2026.jtple.1.3.34

Abstract:

There is broad consensus that education is a fundamental human right and a primary driver for individual growth and societal development. For women, education serves as a vital instrument of human agency, social justice, gender parity, and sustainable national development rather than merely personal fulfilment. Despite substantial progress over the past century, stark disparities persist in women's access to education across geographies, particularly in South Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa, and parts of the Middle East. Cultural norms, especially patriarchal expectations, often constrain women's educational aspirations beyond economic barriers alone. This article examines the interconnected roles of family and society in supporting women's education, employing a gendered and transformative lens to illustrate how personal agency and social norms intersect as constraints or enablers of women's autonomy and empowerment. Gendered values are primarily embedded within family structures as the primary unit of socialization, where parental attitudes, encouragement, and domestic workload distribution shape girls' educational pathways. Simultaneously, society provides the macro-structural context that either reinforces patriarchal traditions or fosters gender equity. Drawing on historical trajectories, international policy frameworks, and cross-national case studies from India, Bangladesh, Rwanda, and the United States, this study demonstrates that while governments and international organizations can formulate progressive policies, meaningful transformation depends on families prioritizing daughters' education and societies dismantling restrictive norms. Advancing women's education therefore requires a synergistic transformation across both micro-level family practices and macro-level social structures.

Keywords: Women's Education, Family Socialization, Societal Norms, Gender Equality, Empowerment, Transformative Education, Social Development

1. INTRODUCTION

Acquiring an education is foundational to human progress in terms of individual agency, economic advancement, and democratic participation, as evidenced in the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG 4 (Quality Education) and SDG 5 (Gender Equality), which highlight global consensus on the value of women's education to sustainable development (UNESCO, 2022). Nonetheless, following decades of advocacy, gender inequities in educational access and outcomes remain significant. UNESCO (2021) reports that 129 million girls worldwide are out of school, including 32 million of primary school age and 97 million of secondary school age. The barriers faced by women are relational and structural rather than merely institutional. The family provides the primary context in which gender norms are internalized and decisions regarding educational investments are made. Typically, parents and caregivers decide whether girls can attend school, how long they remain enrolled, and whether they transition to higher levels of education. Society's broader cultural and structural context, legitimizing either patriarchal boundaries or progressive values, is equally consequential. Social institutions including religion, media, and policy frameworks, shape how families perceive and

Journal of Transformative Pedagogies and Learner Engagement is a peer-reviewed open-access journal. © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article published by Synergy Publication under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited. The Author(s) retain copyright of their work. Synergy Publication is granted a non-exclusive right to publish the article and to identify itself as the original publisher. For more information, *See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.*

OPEN ACCESS

value education for women.

This article provides a critical examination of the familial and societal dynamics involved in the education of women. Drawing upon historical, sociological, and policy perspectives, it illustrates the interplay between micro-level family decisions and macro-level social systems that either constrain or enable educational access and learner empowerment for women. In mapping collective practices and persistent obstacles to women's educational attainment, this article underscores the necessity of shared responsibility toward women's empowerment through transformative education.

2. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

In order to examine the interconnected roles of family and society in advancing women's education, the present study is guided by the following research questions:

1. How have historical, cultural, and socio-political factors shaped the development and accessibility of women's education across different societies?
2. How do familial factors, particularly parental attitudes, household resource allocation, domestic responsibilities, and educational aspirations, influence women's access to and continuity in education?
3. In what ways do societal structures, cultural norms, religious interpretations, media representations, and institutional policies facilitate or constrain women's educational advancement?
4. How do family-level practices and broader societal interventions interact in promoting women's educational opportunities, gender equality, and empowerment?
5. What insights can be drawn from the experiences of India, Bangladesh, Rwanda, and the United States for developing transformative strategies to advance women's education?

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Research Design and Approach

The present study adopts a qualitative, conceptual, and socio-historical research design to critically examine the interconnected roles of family and society in advancing women's education. Drawing upon interpretive and comparative perspectives, the study explores how familial relationships, socio-cultural norms, institutional arrangements, and public policies collectively shape women's educational opportunities, participation, and empowerment. A qualitative approach is particularly appropriate for this inquiry because women's educational experiences are embedded in historically constituted social relations, cultural values, and power structures that cannot be adequately understood through quantitative indicators alone.

The study is informed by a gender-sensitive and transformative perspective, which conceptualizes women's education not merely as access to formal schooling but also as a means of developing individual agency, challenging gender-based inequalities, and facilitating broader social transformation. Within this framework, the family is examined as the primary site of socialization, aspiration formation, and intra-household decision-making, while society is understood as the broader structural context comprising cultural institutions, community practices, religious interpretations, media representations, educational institutions, and public policies.

3.2. Sources of Data and Document Selection

The study is based exclusively on secondary sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, historical publications, international development reports, policy documents, and relevant legislative frameworks. Institutional publications from organizations such as UNESCO, UNICEF, and the World Bank were consulted to contextualize global patterns and challenges concerning women's educational participation. National policy documents and educational initiatives were also examined to understand the relationship between government interventions, community engagement, and household-level educational decisions.

Relevant literature and documentary materials were identified through purposive selection based on their conceptual, historical, and empirical relevance to the central research problem. Particular attention was given to publications addressing four interconnected dimensions:

(a) The historical evolution of women's education across different civilizations and socio-political contexts;

(b) Familial influences, including parental attitudes, household resource allocation, domestic responsibilities, educational aspirations, and intergenerational transmission;

(c) Societal determinants, including patriarchal norms, cultural expectations, religious interpretations, media representations, and institutional arrangements; and

(d) Policy interventions and community-based initiatives designed to improve women's educational access, participation, and empowerment.

Priority was accorded to scholarly and institutional sources that offered substantive theoretical insights, empirical evidence, or policy perspectives relevant to the study. The selection of documents was guided by their relevance to the research objectives, credibility of the publishing institution or academic outlet, and contribution to understanding the interaction between familial and societal influences. The study does not claim to constitute an exhaustive systematic review; rather, it employs an interpretive synthesis of selected literature and documentary evidence.

3.3. Analytical Framework and Procedures

The collected documentary materials were examined through thematic synthesis and comparative contextual analysis. The analytical process involved three

interconnected stages. First, the literature was critically reviewed to identify recurring concepts, arguments, and historical developments concerning women's educational opportunities. Second, the identified evidence was organized into thematic categories corresponding to the familial and societal dimensions of educational participation. The familial dimension included parental aspirations, financial investment, household labour distribution, emotional support, mentorship, and intergenerational educational mobility. The societal dimension encompassed gender ideologies, cultural and religious norms, community participation, media influence, educational institutions, and policy frameworks.

Third, the identified themes were interpreted relationally to examine how household-level practices interact with wider social structures in either facilitating or constraining women's educational advancement. Particular emphasis was placed on the reciprocal relationship between micro-level familial processes and macro-level institutional and socio-cultural conditions. This analytical orientation enabled the study to move beyond an isolated examination of individual barriers and consider the structural and relational mechanisms through which educational inequalities are reproduced or transformed.

3.4. Comparative Case Study Selection

To illustrate the interaction between family, society, and institutional interventions across diverse socio-cultural and political settings, the study examines four purposively selected national cases: India, Bangladesh, Rwanda, and the United States. These cases were selected to provide contextual variation in historical experiences, educational development trajectories, institutional arrangements, and approaches to promoting gender equality in education.

The Indian case focuses on the Beti Bachao, Beti Padhao initiative and the broader policy orientation reflected in the National Education Policy 2020. The Bangladesh case examines BRAC's community-based non-formal primary education initiatives and the contribution of community participation to improving girls' educational opportunities. The Rwandan case considers post-genocide educational reconstruction and the integration of gender equality into national development priorities. The United States case examines Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 as an institutional and legislative intervention against sex-based discrimination in education.

These cases are treated as illustrative comparative examples rather than statistically representative national models. Their purpose is to identify different mechanisms through which public policy, institutional reform, community mobilization, and changing family aspirations can contribute to women's educational advancement. The comparison also recognizes that the historical and socio-economic conditions of the selected countries differ considerably; therefore, the cases are interpreted within their respective contexts rather than treated as directly equivalent.

3.5. Interpretation and Synthesis of Findings

The evidence derived from the selected literature and case studies was synthesized through an interpretive approach to identify common patterns, contextual differences, and relationships between familial and societal influences. Particular attention was given to the ways in which supportive family environments may enhance the effectiveness of progressive educational policies, while restrictive socio-cultural expectations may undermine institutional efforts to promote gender equality.

The analysis was guided by the central proposition that sustainable advancement in women's education requires coordinated transformation at both the household and societal levels. Accordingly, the study interprets educational empowerment as a multidimensional process involving access to education, continuity of participation, development of agency, equitable opportunities, and the capacity to challenge restrictive gender relations.

3.6. Methodological Scope and Limitations

As a conceptual and secondary-source-based study, the research does not involve primary data collection, fieldwork, interviews, surveys, or direct observation. Its findings are therefore interpretive and analytical rather than statistically generalizable. The analysis is also dependent on the availability, quality, and contextual relevance of published literature and institutional documentation. Furthermore, the four selected national cases provide illustrative insights into different policy and socio-cultural contexts but cannot capture the full diversity of women's educational experiences within or across countries.

Despite these limitations, the integration of socio-historical analysis, thematic synthesis, and comparative case examination provides a useful framework for understanding the interdependence of familial practices and societal structures in shaping women's educational opportunities. The study thereby contributes to a broader understanding of women's education as both an individual entitlement and a collective responsibility requiring transformation across household, community, and institutional domains.

4. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF WOMEN'S EDUCATION

4.1. Women's Education in Ancient Civilizations

Throughout history, women's educational experiences have been both fostered and constrained. Women scholars such as Gargi and Maitreyi were educated in philosophy and scripture in ancient India during the Vedic period (1500-500 BCE), where women's education was supported as a vehicle for spiritual growth and intellectual engagement (Sharma, 2013). In ancient Greece, elite women were occasionally educated in private settings, though the vast majority of women were excluded from formal schooling (Pomeroy, 2002). In traditional China, education grounded in Confucian ideals largely emphasized women's domestic roles, although elite women gained literary proficiency, particularly during the Tang dynasty (Li, 2017).

https://doi.org/10.63960/sp.2026.jtple.1.3.34

4.2. Decline and Restriction in the Medieval Period

As feudalism and rigid patriarchal structures gained prominence, women's access to formal education contracted markedly. During the medieval period in India, practices such as purdah and child marriage inhibited women's intellectual development and public participation (Chakrabarti, 2018). In medieval Europe, convents provided select women with literacy and scholarly training, although formal education remained overwhelmingly confined to boys and men.

4.3. Colonial Period and Reform Movements

In the 19th century, movements advocating women's education re-emerged. In India, social reformers including Raja Ram Mohan Roy, Ishwar Chandra Vidyasagar, Jyotirao Phule, and Savitribai Phule championed girls' education, often in direct opposition to entrenched orthodox norms (Forbes, 1996). Missionary schools also expanded formal educational opportunities for women. Concurrently, in the West, the women's suffrage movement explicitly linked women's civic rights to equal educational access (Kelly, 2013).

4.4. Global Developments in the 20th Century

Following World War II, women's inclusion in education gained central prominence in international development discourse. In 1948, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights affirmed education as a fundamental human right (United Nations General Assembly, 1948). while UNESCO and UNICEF championed universal education and gender equality. Subsequent feminist movements further expanded global recognition of human rights and women's equal entitlement to knowledge and agency (Sen, 1999).

5. THE ROLE OF FAMILY IN PROMOTING WOMEN'S EDUCATION

5.1. Parental Attitudes and Aspirations

Parental perception of girls' education is frequently the single most decisive determinant of educational attainment; families that view education as vital for women's empowerment actively encourage daughters to pursue schooling, whereas those holding traditional views may regard formal education as secondary to early marriage (Nussbaum, 2000). Hannum and Buchmann (2005) documented a strong positive association between maternal education and daughters' school enrolment.

5.2. Financial Investment and Resource Allocation

Household economic constraints frequently shape educational access. In households with limited financial resources, families often prioritize boys' schooling because sons are perceived as primary future earners (King & Hill, 1993). Nevertheless, World Bank (2021) research demonstrates that each additional year of schooling for a girl increases her future earnings by 10% to 20%, generating substantial economic and social returns for the entire family.

5.3. Household Responsibilities and Time Poverty

Girls disproportionately shoulder unpaid domestic labour, including cooking, cleaning, and caregiving, which restricts their available study time and contributes to educational attrition (UNICEF, 2020). Conversely, families that redistribute household chores equitably create enabling environments for girls' academic engagement and learning.

5.4. Encouragement, Mentorship, and Role Modelling

Parents and older siblings serve as immediate role models within the household. For instance, an educated older sister often encourages younger siblings to persist in school and aspire toward higher education (Buchmann & DiPrete, 2006). Families that recognize and celebrate girls' educational accomplishments strengthen their self-esteem and academic aspirations.

5.5. Intergenerational Transmission

Education generates powerful multiplier effects across generations: educated mothers are significantly more likely to invest in their daughters' schooling and health. This intergenerational cycle underscores the importance of dismantling initial educational barriers within families (Herz & Sperling, 2004).

6. THE ROLE OF SOCIETY IN PROMOTING WOMEN'S EDUCATION

6.1. Cultural Norms and Gender Stereotypes

Societal expectations frequently confine women to reproductive and domestic roles. For example, the cultural practice of early marriage in South Asia remains a major driver of girls dropping out of school (Jha & Kelleher, 2006). In contrast, societies that value women's public and economic contributions, such as those in Nordic countries, consistently achieve higher female educational attainment and gender parity (Kabeer, 2005).

6.2. Religion and Community Leadership

Religious institutions and community leaders exert considerable influence over social attitudes. Progressive interpretations of religious texts have provided moral legitimacy for women's education; for example, the Quran emphasizes the pursuit of knowledge and literacy for both men and women, enabling reform-minded scholars in Muslim societies to challenge conservative restrictions imposed on women's schooling (Ahmed, 2002).

6.3. Media and Representation

Mass and digital media serve as potent vehicles for reshaping public perceptions. Campaigns such as the Government of India's Beti Bachao, Beti Padhao utilize television, radio, and digital platforms to advocate for the survival, protection, and education of the girl child (Ministry of Education, 2020). Empowering media representations of educated women counter prevailing stereotypes and normalize girls' academic and professional achievements.

6.4. Policy and Institutional Support

Public policies reflect a society's collective commitment to equity. Measures such as free and compulsory schooling legislation, affirmative reservation schemes in higher education, mid-day meal programs, and gender-sensitive school infrastructure demonstrate institutional commitment to gender equity (Drèze & Sen, 2013). Similarly, Bangladesh's Female Secondary School Stipend Program substantially increased girls' secondary school enrolment and retention (Khandker et al., 2003).

7. BARRIERS TO WOMEN'S EDUCATION

Despite policy advances, multiple intersecting familial and societal barriers continue to impede women's educational participation:

- **Patriarchal norms:** Within traditional family structures, patriarchal norms often lead households to perceive investment in daughters' education as yielding limited familial return because daughters marry into other households (King & Hill, 1993).
- **Early marriage:** UNICEF (2021) estimates that approximately 12 million girls marry before the age of 18 each year, abruptly truncating their formal education and long-term developmental opportunities.
- **Economic hardship:** Under conditions of acute poverty, parents frequently withdraw daughters from school to engage in wage labour or household production (Banerjee & Duflo, 2011).
- **Gender-based violence:** Concerns regarding personal safety, exposure to harassment during transit, and inadequate gender-segregated sanitation facilities deter parents from sending adolescent girls to school (UNESCO, 2022).
- **Cultural stigmas:** Entrenched cultural stigmas often discourage women from pursuing higher education or non-traditional careers, reinforcing expectations that restrict them to domestic spheres.

8. ILLUSTRATIVE CROSS-NATIONAL CASE STUDIES

8.1. India: Beti Bachao, Beti Padhao

Initiated in 2015 and reinforced through the vision of the National Education Policy 2020, India's Beti Bachao, Beti Padhao campaign integrates family awareness, community mobilization, and state support. Designed to address declining child sex ratios and promote girls' schooling, the initiative emphasizes shared familial and societal responsibility in educating girls (Ministry of Education, 2020).

8.2. Bangladesh: BRAC Non-Formal Schools

The BRAC model of community-based non-formal primary schools has demonstrated substantial success in raising literacy rates among rural girls in Bangladesh, illustrating how civil society organizations can bridge educational gaps when formal state provision falls short (Nath et al., 1999).

8.3. Rwanda: Post-Genocide Educational Reforms

In the aftermath of the 1994 genocide, Rwanda prioritized gender equality in education as a central pillar of national reconstruction. Targeted policies promoting equitable access, gender-responsive school environments, and shifting social norms subsequently yielded dramatic increases in female school enrolment (Sommer, 2010).

8.4. United States: Title IX Legislation

Enacted as part of the Education Amendments of 1972, Title IX prohibited sex-based discrimination in federally funded educational programs and activities, fundamentally transforming institutional practices, societal expectations, and family aspirations regarding women's higher education and athletic participation (Brake, 2010).

9. DISCUSSION

The present study highlights the interconnected roles of family and society in advancing women's education through a socio-historical and transformative perspective. The analysis suggests that women's educational advancement cannot be attributed solely to institutional accessibility or government policies; rather, it is shaped by the interaction of familial attitudes, socio-cultural norms, economic circumstances, and institutional arrangements. The findings reinforce the argument that sustainable progress in women's education requires simultaneous transformation at the household and societal levels.

9.1. Family Influences and Women's Educational Empowerment

The family emerges as the primary institution influencing girls' educational aspirations, opportunities, and continuity in formal education. Parental attitudes, household resource allocation, financial priorities, and the distribution of domestic responsibilities significantly determine whether girls receive educational opportunities comparable to boys. King and Hill (1993) emphasized that gender-based differences in household resource allocation contribute to persistent educational inequalities. Similarly, Hannum and Buchmann (2005) highlighted the importance of socio-economic and familial circumstances in shaping educational opportunities across different societies. Buchmann and DiPrete (2006) further demonstrated the significance of family background and academic achievement in understanding gender differences in educational attainment. These perspectives support the argument that parental encouragement, educational aspirations, and equitable household practices are essential for improving women's educational participation.

An equally important concern is the disproportionate burden of unpaid domestic responsibilities placed on girls. Household chores and caregiving activities frequently restrict their study time and educational engagement. Herz and Sperling (2004) argued that improving girls' education requires addressing social and household barriers alongside financial constraints. Therefore, family support

https://doi.org/10.63960/sp.2026.jtple.1.3.34

must extend beyond permitting girls to attend school and include emotional encouragement, financial investment, equitable domestic responsibilities, and recognition of their educational aspirations.

9.2. Societal Structures and Gender-Based Inequalities

The study further demonstrates that societal norms, patriarchal traditions, and gender stereotypes significantly influence women's educational opportunities. Early marriage, restrictive cultural expectations, and the traditional association of women with domestic responsibilities frequently limit their educational progression. Kabeer (2005) emphasized that gender equality requires transforming the structural conditions that restrict women's choices and empowerment. Similarly, Sen (1999) conceptualized education as an important instrument for expanding individual freedom, agency, and participation in society.

Religious and cultural institutions also influence public attitudes towards women's education. Ahmed (2002), through her historical examination of gender and Islam, demonstrates the importance of understanding religious interpretations within their particular historical and socio-cultural contexts. Progressive community leadership and inclusive interpretations can provide support for women's educational participation and challenge discriminatory practices. Furthermore, media representations and public awareness initiatives can help transform gender stereotypes by presenting women as active participants in academic, professional, and public life. However, awareness campaigns must be accompanied by institutional support and meaningful educational opportunities to achieve lasting social transformation.

9.3. Economic Dimensions and Educational Opportunities

Economic inequality remains an important determinant of women's educational participation. Poverty, limited household resources, and gender-based investment priorities frequently restrict girls' access to education. Banerjee and Duflo (2011) highlighted the complex relationship between poverty, household decision-making, and access to developmental opportunities. However, the significance of women's education extends beyond its potential economic returns. Nussbaum (2000) argues that education strengthens women's capabilities, autonomy, and opportunities to make meaningful life choices. Sen (1999) similarly emphasizes education as an essential component of human freedom and development. Thus, women's education should be recognized not merely as an economic investment but also as a fundamental human right and a means of achieving social justice and individual empowerment.

9.4. Comparative Perspectives from Different Countries

The experiences of India, Bangladesh, Rwanda, and the United States illustrate different approaches to promoting women's educational advancement. In India, Beti Bachao, Beti Padhao and the National Education Policy 2020 reflect institutional commitments to girls' education and gender inclusion. Nevertheless, their effectiveness depends on sustained implementation, community participation, and changes in household attitudes.

In Bangladesh, BRAC's community-based non-formal primary education initiatives demonstrate the contribution of civil society organizations to expanding educational opportunities among disadvantaged rural girls. Nath et al. (1999) documented the contribution of BRAC schools to improving basic education in rural communities. Similarly, Khandker et al. (2003) examined the Female Secondary School Stipend Program, illustrating the importance of financial incentives in supporting girls' secondary education. These experiences demonstrate how community participation and economic assistance can address different educational barriers.

Rwanda's post-genocide educational reconstruction illustrates the importance of institutional commitment to gender equality within broader national development priorities. The case highlights the need to integrate gender-responsive measures into educational planning and institutional development. In the United States, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 established an important legislative framework against sex-based discrimination in federally funded educational programmes. Brake (2010) discusses its transformative influence on women's participation in education and sports. Collectively, these experiences indicate that legislative protection, financial assistance, community mobilization, and institutional reforms can contribute to educational equality when supported by wider social acceptance.

9.5. Synergy Between Family and Society: A Transformative Perspective

The principal argument emerging from this study is that family and society operate as interconnected and mutually reinforcing institutions in shaping women's educational opportunities. Supportive families may encounter substantial barriers when embedded in restrictive social environments, while progressive educational policies may achieve limited results without corresponding changes in household attitudes and gender relations. Therefore, educational transformation requires coordinated action involving families, communities, educational institutions, civil society organizations, and governments.

The intergenerational dimension further strengthens this argument. Educated women can contribute to changing family aspirations, encouraging the education of subsequent generations, and challenging discriminatory gender expectations. Nevertheless, such transformation requires broader institutional and societal support. Education should consequently be evaluated not only through enrolment and literacy rates but also through retention, academic achievement, access to higher education, economic participation, decision-making authority, and the development of individual agency.

10. SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

The present study provides a conceptual and socio-historical understanding of the interconnected roles of family and society in advancing women's education. However, the complexity and contextual diversity of gender relations and educational experiences indicate the need for further empirical and interdisciplinary investigations. Future research may extend the present study in the following directions:

1. **Empirical Investigation of Family Dynamics:** Future studies may employ quantitative and qualitative research designs to investigate how parental attitudes, family educational backgrounds, household decision-making, financial priorities, and domestic responsibilities influence girls' and women's educational participation, retention, and academic achievement.

2. **Regional and Socio-Cultural Comparative Studies:** Further research may examine variations in women's educational opportunities across rural and urban settings, different socio-economic groups, and diverse cultural communities. Particular attention should be given to educational inequalities among marginalized, economically disadvantaged, and socially excluded populations.

3. **Intergenerational Perspectives on Women's Education:** Longitudinal and intergenerational studies may explore how maternal education, parental aspirations, and family educational experiences influence the educational choices, career aspirations, and empowerment of subsequent generations of women.

4. **Influence of Digital Technology and Educational Opportunities:** Future research may investigate the role of digital learning platforms, mobile technologies, internet accessibility, and digital literacy in expanding educational opportunities for girls and women, particularly in geographically isolated and economically disadvantaged communities.

5. **Effectiveness of Government Policies and Community Interventions:** Comparative evaluations of educational policies and community-based initiatives, including Beti Bachao, Beti Padhao in India and BRAC educational programmes in Bangladesh, may provide deeper insights into the effectiveness of collaborative approaches involving families, educational institutions, civil society organizations, and governments.

6. **Intersectionality and Multiple Forms of Educational Disadvantage:** Future studies should examine how gender intersects with caste, class, religion, disability, ethnicity, geographical location, and economic marginalization in shaping women's educational experiences and opportunities.

7. **Role of Educational Institutions and Teachers:** Further investigation is needed into how teachers, school leadership, curriculum practices, institutional environments, and gender-responsive pedagogies can challenge discriminatory attitudes and create inclusive educational spaces for girls and women.

8. **Long-Term Relationship Between Education and Women's Empowerment:** Longitudinal research may explore the extent to which educational attainment contributes to women's economic independence, decision-making authority, political participation, leadership, health awareness, and broader social transformation.

Future investigations adopting interdisciplinary, longitudinal, and mixed-methods approaches would substantially enrich the understanding of the relationship between family, society, and women's education. Such research could also contribute to developing context-sensitive educational policies and sustainable interventions that promote gender equality, social justice, and women's empowerment across diverse socio-cultural settings.

11. CONCLUSION

Education for women is a shared social responsibility that necessitates sustained synergy between family and society. Families must prioritize daughters' education, redistribute domestic workloads equitably, and nurture high academic aspirations. Simultaneously, societies must challenge restrictive gender stereotypes, enact equitable policies, and build safe, inclusive educational institutions. Together, these efforts can dismantle intergenerational cycles of inequality and foster inclusive socio-economic development. Advancing women's education is not solely a matter of human rights and social justice; it is also an empirical imperative for sustainable development. Nations that invest in girls' and women's education experience stronger economic growth, lower infant and maternal mortality rates, and greater social cohesion (Sen, 1999). Ultimately, achieving gender parity in education requires more than constructing physical infrastructure; it demands transforming family mindsets and social structures so that women are recognized and empowered as equal participants and leaders.

DECLARATIONS

Author(s) Contribution

Single Author work to prepare the manuscript.

Uses of AI Acknowledgement

The author used grammarly for the language refinement and paraphasing.

Funding Information

The author received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-

https://doi.org/10.63960/sp.2026.jtple.1.3.34

for-profit sectors.

Availability of Data and Materials

All secondary sources, policy reports, and scholarly literature cited in this study are publicly available through academic databases and institutional repositories.

Competing Interests

The author declares no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Clinical Trial Registration (if applicable)

Not Applicable

Human Ethics and Consent to Participate

This study is a conceptual and secondary literature review and did not involve human participants, clinical interventions, or experiments requiring formal ethical approval.

REFERENCES

Ahmed, L. (2002). *Women and gender in Islam: Historical roots of a modern debate*. Yale University Press.

Banerjee, A., & Duflo, E. (2011). *Poor economics: A radical rethinking of the way to fight global poverty*. PublicAffairs.

Brake, D. L. (2010). *Getting in the game: Title IX and the women's sports revolution*. NYU Press.

Buchmann, C., & DiPrete, T. A. (2006). The growing female advantage in college completion: The role of family background and academic achievement. *American Sociological Review, 71*(4), 515–541. https://doi.org/10.1177/000312240607100401

Chakrabarti, M. (2018). *Women's education in India: Historical perspectives and contemporary challenges*. Routledge.

Drèze, J., & Sen, A. (2013). *An uncertain glory: India and its contradictions*. Princeton University Press.

Forbes, G. (1996). *Women in modern India*. Cambridge University Press.

Hannum, E., & Buchmann, C. (2005). Global educational expansion and socio-economic development: An assessment of findings from the social sciences. *World Development, 33*(3), 333–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2004.10.001

Herz, B., & Sperling, G. B. (2004). *What works in girls' education: Evidence and policies from the developing world*. Council on Foreign Relations.

Jha, J., & Kelleher, F. (2006). *Girls' education in South Asia: Progress, challenges, and emerging issues*. UNESCO.

Kabeer, N. (2005). Gender equality and women's empowerment: A critical analysis of the third Millennium Development Goal. *Gender & Development, 13*(1), 13–24. https://doi.org/10.1080/13552070512331332273

Kelly, G. P. (2013). *Women's education in the Third World: Comparative perspectives*. SUNY Press.

Khandker, S. R., Pitt, M. M., & Fuwa, N. (2003). *Subsidy to promote girls' secondary education: The female stipend program in Bangladesh* (MPRA Paper No. 23688). Munich Personal RePEc Archive. https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/23688/

King, E. M., & Hill, M. A. (Eds.). (1993). *Women's education in developing countries: Barriers, benefits, and policies*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Li, X. (2017). Women and education in traditional China. *Asian Education Studies, 2*(2), 25–34. https://doi.org/10.20849/aes.v2i2.142

Ministry of Education. (2020). *National education policy 2020*. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf

Nath, S. R., Sylva, K., & Grimes, J. (1999). Raising basic education levels in rural Bangladesh: The impact of BRAC non-formal primary schools. *International Review of Education, 45*(1), 5–26. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003599318872

Nussbaum, M. C. (2000). *Women and human development: The capabilities approach*. Cambridge University Press.

Pomeroy, S. B. (2002). *Spartan women*. Oxford University Press.

Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Alfred A. Knopf.

Sharma, R. (2013). Education of women in ancient and medieval India. *Journal of Indian History, 89*(2), 145–162.

Sommer, M. (2010). Putting menstrual hygiene management on to the school water and sanitation agenda. *Waterlines, 29*(4), 268–278. https://doi.org/10.3362/1756-3488.2010.030

UNESCO. (2021). *Out-of-school children and youth*. UNESCO Institute for Statistics.

UNESCO. (2022). *Global education monitoring report 2022: Gender report—Deepening the debate on those still left behind*. UNESCO Publishing.

UNICEF. (2020). *Gender equality: Glossary of terms and concepts*.

UNICEF. (2021). *Child marriage: Latest trends and future prospects*.

United Nations General Assembly. (1948). *Universal declaration of human rights*. https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights

World Bank. (2021). *Girls' education overview*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/girlseducation

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of Synergy Publication or the editor(s) of the journal. While reasonable editorial and peer-review procedures are followed, Synergy Publication and the editor(s) do not endorse any products, methods, or claims described in the content.

Author Bio:

1. Dr. Fakhruddin Ali Ahmad is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Education and Training at the College of Teacher Education (CTE), Darbhanga, a constituent campus of Maulana Azad National Urdu University (MANUU), Hyderabad. He holds a Ph.D. in Education, an M.Ed., a B.Ed., and an M.A. in History from Aligarh Muslim University (AMU), as well as an M.A. in Urdu from Chaudhary Charan Singh University, Meerut. His primary areas of academic specialization include the pedagogy of Urdu, teacher education, the history of Indian education, minority education, and guidance and counseling. Alongside his teaching, he has contributed to institutional quality assurance as an IQAC Coordinator and worked on community initiatives, including serving as a Faculty Facilitator for the UNFPA-funded Adolescence Education Programme (AEP) in Bihar madrasas.

https://doi.org/10.63960/sp.2026.jtple.1.3.34